Defocus Corrected Large Area Cryo-EM (DeCo-LACE) for

Label-Free Detection of Molecules across Entire Cell Sections

4 Authors

3

- 5 Johannes Elferich ⊠ D 0000-0002-9911-706X
- 6 RNA Therapeutics Institute, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA; Howard
- 7 Hughes Medical Institute, USA
- Giulia Schiroli 🛈 0000-0002-9812-8728
- 9 Center for Regenerative Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; Harvard Stem Cell
- Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University,
 Cambridge, MA, USA
- David Scadden (D) 0000-0001-9821-7133
- ¹³ Center for Regenerative Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; Harvard Stem Cell
- ¹⁴ Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University,
- 15 Cambridge, MA, USA
- Nikolaus Grigorieff ⊠ © 0000-0002-1506-909X
- RNA Therapeutics Institute, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA; Howard
 Hughes Medical Institute, USA
- ¹⁹ correspondence preferred via GitHub Issues. Otherwise, address correspondence to johannes.elferich@uma
 ²⁰ ssmed.edu and niko@grigorieff.org.

21 Abstract

A major goal of biological imaging is localization of biomolecules inside a cell. Fluorescence microscopy can localize biomolecules inside whole cells and tissues, but its ability to count biomolecules and accuracy of the spatial

coordinates is limited by the wavelength of visible light. Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) provides highly 24 accurate position and orientation information of biomolecules but is often confined to small fields of view inside 25 a cell, limiting biological context. In this study we use a new data-acquisition scheme called "Defocus-Corrected 26 Large-Area cryo-EM" (DeCo-LACE) to collect high-resolution images of entire sections (100 – 200 nm thick lamel-27 lae) of neutrophil-like mouse cells, representing 1-2% of the total cellular volume. We use 2D template matching 28 (2DTM) to determine localization and orientation of the large ribosomal subunit in these sections. These data 29 provide "maps" of ribosomes across entire sections of mammalian cells. This high-throughput cryo-EM data col-30 lection approach together with 2DTM will advance visual proteomics and provide biological insight that cannot 31 be obtained by other methods. 32

33 Introduction

A major goal in understanding cellular processes is the knowledge of the amounts, location, interactions, and con-34 formations of biomolecules inside the cell. This knowledge can be obtained by approaches broadly divided into 35 label- and label-free techniques. In label-dependent techniques a probe is physically attached to a molecule of in-36 terest that is able to be detected by its strong signal, such as a fluorescent molecule. In label-free techniques, the 37 physical properties of molecules themselves are used for detection. An example for this is proteomics using mass-38 spectrometry [1]. The advantage of label-free techniques is that they can provide information over thousands of 39 molecules, while label-dependent techniques offer highly specific information for a few molecules. in particular, 40 spatial information is primarily achieved using label-dependent techniques, such as fluorescence microscopy [2]. 41

Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) has the potential to directly visualize the arrangement of atoms that compose 42 biomolecules inside of cells, thereby allowing label-free detection with high spatial accuracy. This has been called 43 visual proteomics" [3]. Since cryo-EM requires thin samples (<500nm), imaging of biomolecules inside cells is 44 restricted to small organisms, thin regions of cells, or samples that have been suitably thinned. Thinning can be 45 achieved either by mechanical sectioning [4] or by milling using a focused ion beam (FIB) [5]. This complex work-46 flow leads to a low throughput of cryo-EM imaging of cells and is further limited by the fact that at the required 47 magnifications, typical fields of view (FOV) are very small compared to mammalian cells, and the FOV achieved 48 by label-dependent techniques such as fluorescence light microscopy. The predominant cryo-EM technique for the 49 localization of biomolecules of defined size and shape inside cells is cryo-electron tomography [6]. However, the re-50 quirement of a tilt series at every imaged location and subsequent image alignment, severely limits the throughput 51 for molecular localization. 52

An alternative approach is to identify molecules by their structural "fingerprint" in single projection using "2D template-matching" (2DTM) [7,8,9]. In this method, a 3D model of a biomolecule is used as a template to find 2D projections that match the molecules visible in the electron micrographs. This method requires a projection search on a fine angular grid, and the projections are used to find local cross-correlation peaks with the micrograph. Since
 the location of a biomolecule in the z-direction causes predictable aberrations to the projection image, this method
 can be used to calculate complete 3D coordinates and orientations of a biomolecule in a cellular sample [8].

Here we apply 2DTM of the ribosome large subunit (LSU) to a conditionally immortalized *mus musculus* (mouse) 59 cell line that gives rise to functional mature neutrophils [10]. We chose these cells because genetic defects in the 60 ribosome machinery often leads to hematopoietic disease [11] and direct quantification of ribosome location, num-61 ber and conformational states in hematopoietic cells could lead to new insight into hematopoietic disease [12]. To 62 increase the amount of collected data and to provide unbiased sampling of the whole lamella, we devised a new 63 data-acquisition scheme, "Defocus-Corrected Large Area Cryo-Electron microscopy" (DeCo-LACE). 2DTM allows 64 us to test whether aberrations caused by large beam-image shifts and highly condensed beams deteriorate the high-65 resolution signal. We find that these aberrations do not impede LSU detection by 2DTM. The resulting data provide 66 a description of ribosome distribution in an entire lamella, which represent 1-2% of the cellular volume. We find 67 a highly heterogeneous density of ribosomes within the cell. Analysis of the throughput in this method suggests 68 that for the foreseeable future computation will be the bottleneck for visual proteomics. 69

70 **Results**

⁷¹ 2DTM detects large ribosomal subunits in cryo-FIB lamellae of mammalian cells

FIB-milled Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast) cells are sufficiently well preserved to permit localization of 60S riboso-72 mal subunits with 2DTM [13]. Due to the larger size of mammalian cells compared to yeast cells, it was unclear 73 whether plunge freezing would be adequate to produce vitreous ice across the whole volume of the cell. To test 74 this we prepared cryo-lamellae of mouse neutrophil cells. A low magnification image of a representative lamella 75 clearly shows cellular features consistent with a neutrophile-like phenotype, mainly a segmented nucleus and a 76 plethora of membrane-organelles, corresponding to the granules and secretory vesicles of neutrophils (Fig. [1]A). 77 We then proceeded to acquire micrographs on this lamella with a defocus of 0.5-1.0 μ m, 30 e⁻/Å²/s exposure and 78 1.76 Å pixel size. We manually selected multiple locations in the lamella and acquired micrographs using standard 79 low-dose techniques where focusing is performed on a sacrificial area. The resulting micrographs showed smooth 80 bilayered membranes and no signs of crystalline ice (Fig. [1]C,D), indicating successful vitrification throughout 81 the lamella. 82

We used an atomic model of the 60S mouse ribosomal subunit (6SWA) for 2DTM [14]. In a subset of images, the distribution of cross-correlation scores significantly exceeded the distribution expected from images devoid of detectable targets. In the resulting scaled maximum-intensity projections (MIPs), clear peaks with SNR values up to 10 were apparent (Fig. [2 - figure supplement 1]A). Using a threshold criterion to select significant targets (see Methods), we found that in images of cytosolic compartments there were 10-500 ribosomes within one micrograph ⁸⁸ (Fig. [1]B-E). Notably, we found no targets in areas corresponding to the nucleus (Fig. [1]B) or mitochondria (Fig. ⁸⁹ 1D). In the cytoplasm, we found a highly variable number of targets, only ~ 50 in some exposures (Fig. [1]E) and up ⁹⁰ to 500 in others (Fig. [1]C). However, it is unclear whether this ten-fold difference in local ribosome concentration ⁹¹ is due to technical variation, such as sample thickness, or biological variation. To differentiate between the two ⁹² we reasoned it was important to not manually choose imaging regions and to collect larger amounts of data. We ⁹³ therefore set out to collect cryo-EM data for 2DTM from mammalian cell lamellae in a high-throughput unbiased ⁹⁴ fashion.

95 DeCo-LACE for 2D imaging of whole lamellae

In order to obtain high-resolution data from complete lamellae, we developed a new approach for data collection. This approach uses three key strategies: (1) every electron that exposes a fresh area of the sample is collected on the camera (2) image shift is used to precisely and quickly raster the surface of a lamella and (3) focusing is done without using a sacrificial area (Fig. [2]A).

To ensure that every electron exposing a fresh area of the sample is captured by the detector, we adjusted the electron beam size to be entirely contained by the detector area. During canonical low-dose imaging, the microscope is configured so that the focal plane is identical to the eucentric plane of the specimen stage. This leaves the C2 aperture out of focus, resulting in ripples at the edge of the beam (Fig. [2]D). While these ripples are low-resolution features that likely do not interfere with 2DTM [7], we also tested data collection under conditions where the C2 aperture is in focus ("fringe-free", Fig. [2]E) [15].

We then centered a lamella on the optical axis of the microscope and used the image shift controls of the microscope to systematically scan the whole surface of the lamella in a hexagonal pattern (Fig. [2]A,C). Instead of focusing on a sacrificial area, we determined the defocus from every exposure after it was taken. The defocus was then adjusted based on the difference between desired and measured defocus (Fig. [2]B). Since we used a serpentine pattern for data collection, every exposure was close to the previous exposure, making large changes in the defocus unlikely. Furthermore, we started our acquisition pattern on the platinum deposition edge to make sure that the initial exposure where the defocus was not yet adjusted did not contain any biologically relevant information.

¹¹³ We used this strategy to collect data on eight lamellae, four using the eucentric focus condition, hereafter referred ¹¹⁴ to as Lamella_{EUC}, and four using the fringe-free condition, hereafter referred to as Lamella_{FFF}(Fig. [3] A+D, Fig. [4 ¹¹⁵ - figure supplement 4]A). We were able to collect data with a highly consistent defocus of 800 nm (Fig. [2]F), both ¹¹⁶ in the eucentric focus and fringe-free focus condition. To ensure that data were collected consistently, we mapped ¹¹⁷ defocus values as a function of the applied image shift (Fig. [3 - figure supplement 1]A). This demonstrated that ¹¹⁸ the defocus was consistent across a lamella, except for rare outliers and in images containing contamination. We ¹¹⁹ also plotted the measured objective astigmatism of each lamella and found that it varies with the applied image shift, becoming more astigmatic mostly due to image shift in the x direction (Fig. [3 - figure supplement 1]B).
While approaches exist to correct for this during the data collection [16], we opted to not use these approaches in
our initial experiments. We reasoned that because 2DTM depends on high-resolution information, this would be
an excellent test of how much these aberration affect imaging.

We assembled the tile micrographs into a montage using the image-shift values and the SerialEM calibration fol-124 lowed by cross-correlation based refinement (see Methods). In the resulting montages, the same cellular features 125 visible in the overview images are apparent (Fig. [3]B+E, Fig. [4 - figure supplement 4]B), however due to the 126 high magnification and low defocus many more details, such as the membrane bilayer separation, can be observed 127 (Fig. [3]C+F). For montages collected using the eucentric condition, there are clearly visible fringes at the edges 128 between the tiles (Fig. [3]C), which are absent in the fringe-free focus montages (Fig. [3]F). In our analysis below, 129 we show that these fringes do not impede target detection by 2DTM, making them primarily an aesthetic issue. We 130 also note that the tiling pattern is visible in the montages (Fig. [3]B+E), which we believe is due to the non-linear 131 behavior of the K3 camera since we can observe these shading artifacts in micrographs of a condensed beam over 132 vacuum (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 3]). 133

The montages show membrane vesicles and granules with highly variable sizes and density. We found that a substantial number of granules, which are characterized by higher density inside the the surrounding cytosol [17], seemed to contain a membrane-enclosed inclusion with density similar to the surrounding cytosol (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 4]C) and could therefore be formed by inward budding of the granule membrane. These granules were 150-300 nm in diameter and the inclusions were 100-200 nm in diameter. Based on these dimensions the granules are either azurophil or specific granules [17]. To our knowledge, these inclusion have not been described in granulocytes and are further described and discussed below.

¹⁴¹ 2DTM of DeCo-LACE data reveals large ribosomal subunit distribution in cellular cross-sections

In our initial attempts of using 2DTM on micrographs acquired with the DeCo-LACE protocol, we did not observe 142 any SNR peaks above threshold using the large subunit of the mouse ribosome (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 1]A). 143 We reasoned that the edges of the beam might interfere with motion-correction of the movies as they represent 144 strong low-resolution features that do not move with the sample. When we cropped the movie frames to exclude the 145 beam edges, the estimated amount of motion increased (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 1]B), consistent with successful 146 tracking of sample motion. Furthermore, in the motion-corrected average we could identify significant SNR peaks 147 (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 1]B), confirming the high sensitivity of 2DTM to the presence of high-resolution signal 148 preserved in the images by the motion correction. To streamline data processing, we implemented a function in 149 unblur to consider only a defined central area of a movie for estimation of sample motion, while still averaging the 150 complete movie frames (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 1]C). Using this approach, we motion-corrected all tiles in the 151

eight lamellae and found consistently total motion below 1 Å per frame (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 2] A). In some 152 lamellae we found increased motion in the lamella center, which indicates areas of variable mechanical stability 153 within FIB-milled lamellae. In some micrographs we also observed that the beam edges gave rise to artifacts in 154 the MIP and numerous false-positive detections at the edge of the illuminated area (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 155 1]D). A similar phenomenon was observed on isolated "hot" pixels in unilluminated areas. To overcome this issue 156 we implemented a function in unblur to replace dark areas in the micrograph with Gaussian noise (see Methods), 157 with mean and standard deviation matching the illuminated portion of the micrograph (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 158 1]D+E). Together, these pre-processing steps enabled us to perform 2DTM on all tiles of the eight lamellae. 159

We used the tile positions to calculate the positions of the detected LSUs in the lamellae (Fig. [4]A, Fig. [5]A, 160 Movie 1, Movie 2). Overlaying these positions of the lamellae montages reveals LSU distribution throughout the 161 FIB-milled slices of individual cells. Consistent with prior observations imaging selected views in yeast [13], or-162 ganelles like the nucleus and mitochondria only showed sporadic targets detected with low SNRs, consistent with 163 the estimated false-positive rate of one per tile. For each detected target we also calculated the Z positions from 164 the individual estimated defocus and defocus offset for each tile. When viewed from the side, the ribosome po-165 sitions therefore show the slight tilts of the lamellae relative to the microscope frame of reference (Fig. [4]B, Fig. 166 [5]B, Movie 1, Movie 2). Furthermore, the side views indicated that lamellae were thinner at the leading edge. 167 Indeed, when plotting the transmitted beam intensities in individual tiles as a function of beam image-shift, we 168 observed substantially higher intensities at the leading edge (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 2]B), which in energy-169 filtered TEM indicates a thinner sample [18]. Even though we prepared the lamellae with the "overtilt" approach 170 [19], this means that LSU densities across the lamellae can be skewed by a change in thickness, and better sample 171 preparation methods are needed to generate more even samples. 172

As described in [7] the 2DTM SNR threshold for detecting a target is chosen to result in one false positive detection 173 per image searched. We would therefore expect to find one false positive detection per tile. We reasoned that the 174 large nuclear area imaged by DeCo-LACE could be used to test whether this assumption is true. In the 670 tiles 175 containing exclusively nucleus (as manually annotated from the overview image) we detected 247 targets, making 176 the false-positive rate more than twofold lower than expected. Since earlier work shows that 2DTM with the LSU 177 can produce matches to nuclear ribosome biogenesis intermediates [13], this could even be an overestimate of the 178 false-positive rate. This suggests that the detection threshold could be even lower, which is an area of ongoing 179 research. 180

¹⁸¹ Close inspection of the LSU positions in the lamellae revealed several interesting features. LSUs could be seen asso-¹⁸² ciating with membranes, in patterns reminiscent of the rough endoplasmic reticulum (Fig. [4]C, Fig. [5]C) or the ¹⁸³ outer nuclear membrane (Fig. [4]D). We also observed LSUs forming ring-like structures (Fig. [4]E), potentially ¹⁸⁴ indicating circularized mRNAs [20]. While ribosomes were for the most part excluded from the numerous granules observed in the cytoplasm, in some cases we observed clusters of LSUs in the inclusions of double-membraned
granules described earlier (Fig. [4]F, Fig. [5]D,E). It is, in principle, possible that these targets are situated above or
below the imaged granules, since the granule positions in z cannot be determined using 2D projections. However,
in the case of Fig. [5]E, the detected LSUs span the whole lamella in the z direction (Fig. [5]F), while positions
above or below a granule would result in LSUs situated exlusively at the top or bottom of the lamella. This is
consistent with the earlier hypothesis that the inclusions are of cytoplasmic origin.

¹⁹¹ Does DeCo-LACE induce aberrations that affect 2DTM?

Within the eight lamellae we found different numbers of detected targets, ranging from 1089 to 6433 per lamella (Fig. 192 [6]A). Lamella_{EUC} 1 had the most detected targets, but also has the largest surface area and contained cytoplasm 193 from two cells. Lamella_{FFF} 4 had the fewest detected targets, but this particular lamella was dominated by a circular 194 section of the nucleus, with only small pockets of cytoplasm (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 4]). In an attempt to 195 normalize for these differences in area containing cytoplasm, we compared the number of detected targets per tile 196 in tiles that contained more than one target, which should exclude tiles with non-cytosolic content (Fig. [6]B). 197 While this measure had less variability, there were still differences. Lamella_{EUC} 4 had not only the fewest targets, 198 but also the lowest density, which could be due to this lamella being the thinnest, or due to it sectioning the cell in an 199 area with a lower concentration of ribosomes. Lamella_{FFF} 3 had a substantially higher number of ribosomes per tile. 200 Since all of these lamellae were made from a cell-line under identical conditions, this underscores the necessity to 201 collect data from large numbers of lamellae to overcome the inherent variability. When comparing the distribution 202 of scores between lamellae, we found them to be fairly comparable with median SNRs ranging from 8.7 to 9.7 (Fig. 203 [6]C). Lamella_{EUC} 1 had slightly lower scores compared to the rest, potentially due to its large size and connected 204 mechanical instability during imaging. Overall, we did not observe differences in the number or SNR of detected 205 targets between eucentric or fringe-free illumination conditions that were bigger than the observed inter-lamella 206 variability. 207

Since the SNR values of 2DTM are highly sensitive to image quality, we reasoned we could use them to verify that DeCo-LACE does not introduce a systematic loss of image quality. We considered non-parallel illumination introduced by the unusually condensed beam and uncharacterized aberrations near the beam periphery. When plotting the SNR values of detected targets in all eight lamellae as a function of their location in the tiles, we found uniformly high SNR values throughout the illuminated areas for both eucentric and fringe-free focus illumination, demonstrating that both illumination schemes are suitable for DeCo-LACE (Fig. [6]D).

We also wondered whether large image shifts would lead to aberrations due to astigmatism or beam tilt [16]. We reasoned that if that was the case the number of detected targets should be highest in the center of the lamella where the applied beam image-shift is 0. Instead, we observed that in both eucentric and fringe-free focus conditions more

targets were detected at the "back" edge of the lamella (Fig. [6]E]). This may be due to the center of the cell being 217 predominantly occupied by the nucleus, despite its segmentation in neutrophil-like cells. The increase in matches 218 at the "back" of the lamellae compared to the "front" can also be explained by the thickness gradient of the lamellae 219 (Fig. [4 - figure supplement 2]B, Fig. [4]B, Fig. [5]B). In addition, aberrations would be expected to cause average 220 2DTM SNRs to be higher when beam-image shift values are small. Instead, we found that SNRs where on average 221 the highest at the "front" edge of the lamellae, presumably due to the thinner sample. We therefore conclude that 222 factors other that beam image-shift or beam condensation aberrations are limiting 2DTM SNRS, predominantly the 223 thickness of the lamellae. 224

225 Computation is the bottleneck of visual proteomics

All lamellae described above were derived from a clonal cell line under identical condition and thinned with the 226 same parameters. This means that the substantial variability of detected targets between the lamellae must be due 227 to technical variability, including area, thickness, mechanical stability, and location of the section within the cell. 228 We therefore predict that further studies that want to draw quantitative and statistically relevant conclusions about 229 the number and location of molecules under different experimental conditions, will require collection of orders of 230 magnitude more data than in this study to gain enough statistical power given this variability. The samples used 231 were prepared in two 24 h sessions on a FIB/SEM instrument, and imaging was performed during another two 232 24h session on the TEM microscope. Inspections of the timestamps of the raw data files revealed that the milling 233 time per lamella was ~30 minutes and TEM imaging was accomplished in ~10 seconds per tile or 90 minutes for 234 $a \sim 6x6 \mu m$ lamella. Processing of the data, however, took substantially longer. Specifically, 2DTM of all tiles took 235 approximately one week per lamella on 32 Nvidia A6000 GPUs. Computation is therefore a bottleneck in our 236 current workflow, and further optimizations of the algorithm may be necessary increase throughput. Alternatively, 237 this bottleneck could be reduced by increasing the number of processing units. 238

239 Discussion

In this study we developed an approach to image entire cellular cross-section using cryo-EM at high enough resolu-240 tion to allow for 2DTM detection of the LSU. The two main advantages compared to previous approaches are high 241 throughput of imaging and biological context for detected molecules. The requirement to increase throughput in 242 cryo-EM data collection of cellular samples has been recognized in the recent literature. Most approaches described 243 so far are tailored towards tomography. Peck et al. [21] and Yang et al. [22] developed approaches to increase the 244 FOV of tomogram data-collection by using a montaging technique. Peck et al. used a similar "condensed-beam" 245 approach as described here. However, the montages are substantially smaller in scope, covering carbon film holes 246 of 2 μ m diameter. Bouvette et al. [23] and Eisenstein et al. [24] are using beam image-shift to collect tilt-series in 247 multiple locations in parallel to increase throughput. However, none of these approaches provide the full coverage 248

²⁴⁹ of a cellular cross-section that can be achieved using DeCo-LACE.

We observed granules containing a vesicle of putative cytosolic origin. We speculate that upon degranulation, the 250 process in which granules fuse with the plasma membrane, these vesicles would be released into the extracelullar 251 space. The main types of extracellular vesicles of this size are exosomes, up to 100 nm large vesicles derived from 252 fusion of multivesicular bodies with the plasma membrane, and microvesicles, which are derived from direct bud-253 ding of the plasma membrane [25]. We suggest that granulocytes could release a third type of extracellular vesicle, 254 granule-derived vesicles (GDV), into the extracellular space. 2DTM showed that a subset of GDVs can contain ribo-255 somes (Fig. [4]F, Fig. [5]D,E). This could indicate that these vesicles are transporting translation-capable mRNAs, 256 as has been described for exosomes [26]. Further studies will be necessary to confirm the existence of GDVs in 257 granulocytes isolated from mammals and to understand their functional significance. 258

As mentioned in the results, we found a consistent shading artifact pattern in our montages, that we believe is the 259 result of non-linear behavior of the K3 camera. Indeed, when we average images with a condensed beam taken 260 over vacuum we found in both focus conditions a consistent background pattern with a brighter region on the 261 periphery of the illuminated area (Fig [4 - figure supplement 3]). This might be caused by dynamic adjustment 262 of the internal camera counting threshold which expects columns of the sensor to be evenly illuminated as is the 263 case for SPA applications. Since the signal of this pattern has mainly low-resolution components it is unlikely to 264 affect 2DTM. However, it highlights that the non-linear behavior of the camera has to be taken into account when 265 imaging samples with strongly varying density and unusual illumination schemes. 266

We found that even though we used beam image-shift extensively (up to 7 um), we did not see substantially reduced 207 2DTM SNR values in tiles acquired at high beam image-shift compared to tiles acquired with low or no beam image-208 shift. This is in contrast to reports in single-particle analysis (SPA) [27] where the induced beam tilt substantially 207 reduced the resolution if it was not corrected during processing. It is possible that 2DTM is less sensitive to beam-208 tilt aberrations, since the template is free of any aberration and only the image is distorted, while in SPA the beam 209 tilt will affect both the images and the reconstructed template.

Since we observed substantial variation in LSU density within and between lamellae, visual proteomics studies that 273 use cryo-EM to establish changes in molecular organization within cells will require orders of magnitude more data 274 than used in this study. One milestone would be to image enough data to represent one cellular volume, which 275 for a small eukaryotic cells requires imaging approximately 100 lamellae. While data collection throughput on the 276 TEM is fundamentally limited by the exposure time, this amount of data could be collected within 12 hours by 277 improving the data acquisition scheme to perform all necessary calculations in parallel with actual exposure of the 278 camera. Sample preparation using a FIB/SEM is also currently a bottleneck, but preparation of large lamellae with 279 multiple cellular cross-sections using methods like WAFFLE [28] might allow sufficient throughput. As stated in 280 the results, at least for 2DTM computation will remain challenging and approximately 17,000 GPU hours would be 281

required for a 100 lamellae dataset.

283 Materials and Methods

284 Grid preparation

ER-HoxB8 cells were maintained in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin/streptomycin, SCF, and 285 estrogen [10] at 37 °C and 5% CO2. 120 h prior to grid preparation, cells were washed twice in PBS and cultured in 286 the same medium except without estrogen. Differentiation was verified by staining with Hoechst-dye and inspec-287 tion of nuclear morphology. Cells were then counted and diluted to $1\cdot 10^6$ cells/ml. Grids (either 200 mesh copper 288 grids, with a sillicone-oxide and 2 μ m holes with a 2 μ m spacing or 200 mesh gold grids with a thin gold film and 2 289 μ m holes in 2 μ m spacing) were glow-discharged from both sides using a 15 mA for 45 s. 3.5 μ l of cell suspension 290 was added to grids on the thin-film side and grids were blotted from the back side using a GP2 cryoplunger (Leica, 291 Wetzlar, Germany) for 8 s and rapidly plunged into liquid ethane at -185 °C. 292

293 FIB-milling

Grids were loaded into an Aquilos 2 FIB/SEM (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) instrument with a stage cooled to -190 °C. Grids were sputter-coated with platinum for 15 s at 45 mA and then coated with a layer of platinumprecursor by opening the GIS-valve for 45 s. An overview of the grid was created by montaging SEM images and isolated cells at the center of gridsquares were selected for FIB-milling. Lamellae were generated automatically using the AutoTEM software (Thermo Fisher), with the following parameters:

- Milling angle: 20°
- Rough milling: 3.2 μm thickness, 0.5 nA current
- Medium milling: 1.8 μm thickness, 0.3 nA current, 1.0° overtilt
- Fine milling: 1.0 μm tchickness, 0.1 nA current, 0.5° overtilt
- Finer milling: 700 nm thickness, 0.1 nA curent, 0.2° overtilt
- Polish 1: 450 nm thickness, 50 pA current
- Polish 2: 200 nm thickness, 30 pA current

This resulted in 6-10 μ m wide lamella with 150-250 nm thickness as determined by FIB-imaging of the lamella edges.

308 Data collection

Grids were loaded into a Titan Krios TEM (Thermo Fisher) operated at 300 keV and equipped with a BioQuantum energy filter (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) and K3 camera (Gatan). The microscope was aligned using a cross-grating grid on the stage. Prior to each session, we carefully performed the "Image/Beam" calibration in nanoprobe. We set the magnification to a pixel size of 1.76 Å and condensed the beam to ~ 900 nm diameter, resulting in the beam being completely visible on the camera. To establish fringe-free conditions, the "Fine eucentric" procedure of SerialEM [29] was used to move a square of the cross-grating grid to the eucentric position of the microscope. The effective defocus was then set to 2 μ m, using the "autofocus" routine of SerialEM. The objective focus of the microscope was changed until no fringes were visible. The stage was then moved in Z until images had an apparent defocus of 2 μ m. The difference in stage Z-position between the eucentric and fringe-free conditions was used to move other areas into fringe-free condition.

Low magnification montages were used to find lamellae and lamellae that were sufficiently thin and free of con-319 tamination were selected for automated data collection. Overview images of each lamella were taken at 2250x 320 magnification (38 Å pixel size). The corners of the lamella in the overview image were manually annotated in 321 SerialEM and translated into beam image-shift values using SerialEM's calibration. A hexagonal pattern of beam 322 image-shift positions was calculated that covered the area between the four corners in a serpentine way, with a 323 $\sqrt{3} \cdot 425$ nm horizontal spacing and $3/4 \cdot 850$ nm vertical spacing. Exposures were taken at each position with a 324 $30 e^{-}/Å^{2}$ total dose. After each exposure, the defocus was estimated using the ctffind function of SerialEM and the 325 focus for the next exposure was corrected by the difference between the estimated focus and the desired defocus of 326 800 nm. Furthermore, after each exposure the deviation of the beam from the center of the camera was measured 327 and corrected using the "CenterBeamFromImage" command of SerialEM. 328

After data collection, a 20 s exposure at 2250x magnification of the lamella at 200 µm defocus was taken for visual ization purposes. A Python script implementing this procedure is available at https://github.com/jojoelfe/deco_l
 ace_template_matching_manuscript.

332 DeCo-LACE data processing

An overview of the data analysis pipeline is shown in Fig. 7.

Pre-processing Motion-correction, dose weighting and other preprocessing as detailed below was performed 334 using *cis*TEM [30]. To avoid influence of the beam-edge on motion-correction, only a quarter of the movie in the 335 center of the camera was considered for calculation of the estimated motion. After movie frames were aligned and 336 summed, a mask for the illuminated area was calculated by lowpass filtering the image with a 100 Å resolution 337 cutoff, thresholding the image at 10% of the maximal value and then lowpass filtering the mask again with a 100 Å 338 resolution cutoff to smooth the mask edges. This mask was then used to select dark areas in the image and fill the 339 pixels with Gaussian noise, with the same mean and standard deviation as the illuminated area. A custom version 340 of the unblur program [31] implementing this procedure is available at [link to decolace branch]. During motion 341 correction images were resampled to a pixel size of 1.5 Å. The contrast-transfer function (CTF) was estimated using 342 ctffind [32], searching between 0.2 and 2 µm defocus. 343

³⁴⁴ **2DTM** The search template was generated from the atomic model of the mouse LSU (PDB 6SWA, exluding the ³⁴⁵ Epb1 subunit) using the cryo-EM simulator implemented in *cis*TEM [33]. The match_template program [9] was ³⁴⁶ used to search for this template in the movie-aligned, exposure-filtered and masked images, using a 1.5° angular ³⁴⁷ step in out-of-plane angles and a 1.0° angular step in-plane. 11 defocus planes in 20 nm steps centered around the ³⁴⁸ ctffind-determined defocus were searched. Targets were defined as detected when their matches with the template ³⁴⁹ produced peaks with a singal-to-noise ratio (SNR) above a threshold of 7.75, which was chosen based on the one-³⁵⁰ false-positive-per-tile criterion [7].

³⁵¹ **Montage assembly** The coordinates of each tile *i*, c_i [2D Vector in pixels] were initialized using beam image-shift ³⁵² of the tile, b_i [2D Vector in μ m], and the ISToCamera matrix **IC**, as calibrated by SerialEM:

$$\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i$$

A list of tile pairs i, j that overlap were assembled by selecting images where $|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j| < D_{Beam}$. In order to calculate the precise offset between tiles i and j, $\mathbf{r}_{i,j}$, we calculated the cross-correlation between the two tiles, masked to the overlapping illuminated area using the scikit-image package [34] was used to calculate refined offsets. The coordinates \mathbf{c}_i were then refined by a least-square minimization against $\mathbf{r}_{i,j}$:

$$\min_{\mathbf{c}} \sum_{pairs}{(\mathbf{r}_{i,j} - (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j))^2}$$

using the scipy package [35]. The masked cross-correlation and the least-square minimization was repeated once
more to arrive at the final tile alignment.

The x,y coordinates of target *n* detected by 2DTM in the tile *i*, $\mathbf{m}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{T}}$, was transformed into the montage frame by adding the coordinate of the tile.

$$\mathbf{m}_n^{\mathrm{M}} = \mathbf{m}_{n,i}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{c}_i$$

The z coordinate of each target was calculated as the sum of the defocus offset for the target, the estimated defocus of the tile, and the nominal defocus of the microscope when the tile was acquired.

³⁶³ Images were rendered using UCSF ChimeraX [36] using a custom extension to render 2DTM results available at

³⁶⁴ https://github.com/jojoelfe/tempest. The Python scripts used for data processing are available under https:

³⁶⁵ //github.com/jojoelfe/deco_lace_template_matching_manuscript.

366 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Bronwyn Lucas, Carsten Sachse, and Chen Xu for helpful suggestions and careful reading of the manuscriptas as well as members of the Grigorieff lab for helpful discussions. Data was collected at the UMass Chan Medical School Cryo-EM core with help by Kankang Song, Christna Ouch, and Chen Xu.

370 Data availability

Cryo-EM movies, motion-corrected images and 2DTM results have been deposited in EMPIAR under accession code [will be inserted]. The custom cisTEM version is available under https://github.com/jojoelfe/cisTEM/tre e/2574dbdf6161658fd177660b3a841100a792f61b until features have been integrated into a cisTEM release. The ChimeraX extension for rendering is available under https://github.com/jojoelfe/tempest. This manuscript was prepared using the manubot package [37]. The corresponding repository containing all scripts used for figure generation can be found under https://github.com/jojoelfe/deco_lace_template_matching_manuscript.

377 **Conflicts of interest**

³⁷⁸ The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

379 Figures



Figure 1: 2D template matching of the large subunit of the ribosome in fib-milled neutrophil-like cells (A) Overview image of the lamella. Major cellular regions are labeled, as Nucleus (Nuc), Mitochondria (M), and granular cytoplasm (GrCyt). FOVs where high-magnification images for template matching where acquired are indicated as boxes with the number of detected targets indicated on the bottom right. FOVs displayed in Panels B-E are color-coded. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (B-E) FOVs with projection of detected LSUs shown in cyan. (B) Perinuclear region, the only detected targets are in the cytoplasmic half. (C) Cytoplasmic region with high density of ribosomes (D) Mitochondrium, as expected there are only detected LSUs in the cytoplasmic region (E) Cytoplasm, with low density of ribosomes.



Figure 2 - figure supplement 1: 2D template matching of the large subunit of the ribosome in fib-milled neutrophillike cells (A) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) cross-correlation map of micrograph shown in Figure 1 (B+C) 3D plot of MIP regions indicated by color boxes in Panel A



Figure 2: DeCo-LACE approach (A) Graphic demonstrating the data-collection strategy for DeCo-LACE. The electron beam is condensed to a diameter D_{Beam} that allows captured of the whole illuminated area on the camera. Beam-image shift along X and Y (BIS_X , BIS_Y) is used to scan the whole lamella (B) Diagram of the collection algorithm (C) Example overview image of a lamella with the designated acquisition positions and the used beam diameter indicated with red circles. Scalebar corresponds to 1 µm. (D+E) Representative micrographs taken with a condensed beam at eucentric focus (D) or fringe-free focus (E). Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm. (F) Boxplot of defocus measured by ctffind of micrographs taken by the DeCo-LACE approach on four lamellae images at eucentric focus and four lamellae imaged with fringe-free focus.



Figure 3 - figure supplement 1: Defocus estimation of individual tiles of DeCo-LACE montages (A) Defocus values of individual micrographs taken using the DeCo-LACE approach plotted as a function of the beam image-shift values. (B) Defocus astigmatism of individual micrographs taken using the DeCo-LACE approach plotted as a function of the beam image-shift values.



Figure 3: Assembling DeCo-LACE exposures into montages (A) Overview image of Lamella_{EUC} 1 taken at low magnification. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (B) Overview of Lamella_{EUC} 1 created by montaging high magnification images taken with the DeCo-LACE approach. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (C) Zoom-in into red box in panel B. Slight beam-fringe artifacts are visible. Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm. (D) Overview image of Lamella_{FFF} 4 taken at low magnification. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (E) Overview of Lamella_{FFF} 4 created by montaging high magnification images taken with the DeCo-LACE approach. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (F) Zoom-in into red box in panel E. No beam-fringe artifacts are visible. Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm.



Figure 4 - figure supplement 1: Motion correction of movies with condensed beams. At the top of each panel is an average of the movie that was motion-corrected with a red dashed box indicating the region that was used to estimate shifts. Below is a graph indicating the estimated shifts of the individual frames of the movie. Below this is the MIP of 2DTM using the large subunit of the mouse ribosome. (A) Motion correction of the whole movie (B) Notion correction of a cropped region of the movie that eliminates the beam edges (C) Motion correction of the whole movie, using only the central region to estimate the shifts



Figure 4 - figure supplement 2: Motion correction of individual tiles imaged using the DeCo-LACE approach (A) Total estimated motion of individual micrographs taken using the DeCo-LACE approach plotted as a function of the beam image-shift values. (B) Electron intensity of individual micrographs taken using the DeCo-LACE approach plotted as a function of the beam image-shift values.



Figure 4 - figure supplement 3: Averages of micrographs taken with a condensed beam over vacuum using a Gatan K3 detector. Contrast and Brightness have been adjusted to highlight uneven dose response. (A) Eucentric Focus (B) Fringe-free Focus



Figure 4 - figure supplement 4: Overview images of lamellae imaged using the DeCo-LACE approach taken at lowmagnification (A) Overviews taken at low magnification. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (B) Overviews assembled using the DeCo-LACE approach. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (C) Representative examples of a class of granules containing a putatively cytosolic inclusion. Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm.



Figure 4: Template matching in lamella imaged using the DeCo-LACE approach at eucentric focus (A) Montage of Lamella_{EUC} 1 overlaid with detected targets colored in orange. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (B) Side view of detected targets in the lamella, such that the direction of the electron beam is horizontal. (C-F) Magnified area of panel A showing rough ER with associated ribosomes (C), outer nuclear membrane with associated ribosomes (D), ribosomes arranged in a circular fashion (E), ribosomes enclosed in a less electron dense inclusion in a granule (F). Ribosomes are colored in white with the surface of the peptide exit tunnel colored in green and the A, P, and E sites colored in blue, purple, and red, respectively.Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm.



Figure 5: Template matching in lamella imaged using the DeCo-LACE approach at fringe-free focus (A) Montage of Lamella_{FFF} 4 overlaid with detected targets colored in orange. Scalebar corresponds to 1 μ m. (B) Side view of detected targets in the lamella, such that the direction of the electron beam is horizontal. (C-E) Magnified area of panel A showing rough ER with associated ribosomes (C) and ribosomes enclosed in a less electron dense inclusion in a granule (D,E). (F) Side view of panel E. Ribosomes are colored in white with the surface of the peptide exit tunnel colored in green and the A, P, and E sites colored in blue, purple, and red, respectively. Scalebar corresponds to 100 nm.



Figure 6: Statistics of 2DTM on lamella imaged using DeCo-LACE (A) Number of detected targets in each lamella (B) Distribution of targets per tile in each lamella. Only tiles with two or more detected targets were included (C) Distribution of SNRs in each lamella (D) For each lamella an average of all tiles is shown. Overlaid is a scatterplot of all detected targets in these tiles according to their in-tile coordinates. Scatterplot is colored according to the 2DTM SNR. There are no detected targets in the top circle-circle intersection due to radiation damage from previous exposures. (E) 2D histogram of number of detected targets as a function of beam-image shift (F) Mean 2DTM SNR as a function of beam-image shift



Figure 7: Workflow of DeCo-LACE processing

Figure Movie 1: Movie of detected LSU targets in Lamella_{EUC} 1, corresponding to Figure 5

Figure Movie 2: Movie of detected LSU targets in Lamella_{FFF} 4, corresponding to Figure 6

380 References

- Label-free, normalized quantification of complex mass spectrometry data for proteomic analysis Noelle M Griffin, Jingyi Yu, Fred Long, Phil Oh, Sabrina Shore, Yan Li, Jim A Koziol, Jan E Schnitzer Nature Biotechnology (2010-01) https://doi.org/fshgnc DOI: 10.1038/nbt.1592 · PMID: 20010810 · PMCID: PMC2805705
- 382
- Fluorescence microscopy Jeff W Lichtman, José-Angel Conchello
 Nature Methods (2005-11-18) https://doi.org/bbpg4n DOI: 10.1038/nmeth817 · PMID: 16299476
- 384
- A visual approach to proteomics Stephan Nickell, Christine Kofler, Andrew P Leis, Wolfgang Baumeister Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology (2006-02-15) https://doi.org/d6d5mq DOI: 10.1038/nrm1861 · PMID: 16482091
- 386
- 4. Electron microscopy of frozen hydrated sections of vitreous ice and vitrified biological samples AW McDowall, J-J Chang, R Freeman, J Lepault, CA Walter, J Dubochet Journal of Microscopy (1983-07) https://doi.org/bdnzmv DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2818.1983.tb04225.x · PMID: 6350598
- 388
- ³⁸⁹ 5. Opening windows into the cell: focused-ion-beam milling for cryo-electron tomography Elizabeth Villa, Miroslava Schaffer, Jürgen M Plitzko, Wolfgang Baumeister *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* (2013-10) https://doi.org/f537jp DOI: 10.1016/j.sbi.2013.08.006 · PMID: 24090931

390

Electron tomography of cells Lu Gan, Grant J Jensen
 Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics (2011-11-15) https://doi.org/czj9hr DOI: 10.1017/s0033583511000102 · PMID: 22082691

392

 ³⁹³ 7. Single-protein detection in crowded molecular environments in cryo-EM images JPeter Rickgauer, Nikolaus Grigorieff, Winfried Denk
 eLife (2017-05-03) https://doi.org/gnq4q4 DOI: 10.7554/elife.25648 · PMID: 28467302 · PMCID: PMC5453696

394

Label-free single-instance protein detection in vitrified cells JPeter Rickgauer, Heejun Choi, Jennifer Lippincott-Schwartz, Winfried Denk
 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2020-04-24) https://doi.org/gpbjfd DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.22.053868

- ³⁹⁷ 9. Locating macromolecular assemblies in cells by 2D template matching with cisTEM Bronwyn A Lucas, Benjamin A Himes, Liang Xue, Timothy Grant, Julia Mahamid, Nikolaus Grigorieff
 eLife (2021-06-11) https://doi.org/gkkc49 DOI: 10.7554/elife.68946 · PMID: 34114559 · PMCID: PMC8219381
- ³⁹⁹ 10. Inhibition of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase Overcomes Differentiation Blockade in Acute Myeloid Leukemia David B Sykes, Youmna S Kfoury, François E Mercier, Mathias J Wawer, Jason M Law, Mark K Haynes, Timothy A Lewis, Amir Schajnovitz, Esha Jain, Dongjun Lee, ... David T Scadden *Cell* (2016-09) https://doi.org/f3r5jr DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.057 · PMID: 27641501 · PMCID: PMC7360335
- 400

308

Hallmarks of ribosomopathies Kim R Kampen, Sergey O Sulima, Stijn Vereecke, Kim De Keersmaecker
 Nucleic Acids Research (2019-07-27) https://doi.org/gpbjfm DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz637 · PMID: 31350888 ·
 PMCID: PMC7026650

402

Diagnostic and prognostic implications of ribosomal protein transcript expression patterns in human cancers James M Dolezal, Arie P Dash, Edward V Prochownik
 BMC Cancer (2018-03-12) https://doi.org/gc87j9 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-018-4178-z · PMID: 29530001 · PMCID: PMC5848553

404

405 13. <i>In situ</i> single particle classification reveals distinct 60S maturation intermediates in cells Bronwyn A Lucas, Kexin Zhang, Sarah Loerch, Nikolaus Grigorieff Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2022-04-10) https://doi.org/gp4qrx DOI: 10.1101/2022.04.10.487797

406

 Protein Synthesis in the Developing Neocortex at Near-Atomic Resolution Reveals Ebp1-Mediated Neuronal Proteostasis at the 60S Tunnel Exit Matthew L Kraushar, Ferdinand Krupp, Dermot Harnett, Paul Turko, Mateusz C Ambrozkiewicz, Thiemo Sprink, Koshi Imami, Manuel Günnigmann, Ulrike Zinnall, Carlos H Vieira-Vieira, ... Christian MT Spahn *Molecular Cell* (2021-01) https://doi.org/gh7d72 DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.11.037 · PMID: 33357414 · PMCID: PMC8163098

408

409 15. Advances in Single Particle Analysis Data Acquisition Stan Konings, Maarten Kuijper, Jeroen Keizer, Fanis Grollios, Tjerk Spanjer, Peter Tiemeijer Microscopy and Microanalysis (2019-08) https://doi.org/gp9bqm DOI: 10.1017/s1431927619005798

 High-quality, high-throughput cryo-electron microscopy data collection via beam tilt and astigmatismfree beam-image shift Chunling Wu, Xiaojun Huang, Jing Cheng, Dongjie Zhu, Xinzheng Zhang *Journal of Structural Biology* (2019-12) https://doi.org/gp2nq9 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2019.09.013 · PMID: 31562921

412

 THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEUTROPHILIC POLYMORPHONUCLEAR LEUKOCYTES IN HUMAN BONE MARROW Dorothy Ford Bainton, Joan L Ullyot, Marilyn G Farquhar Journal of Experimental Medicine (1971-10-01) https://doi.org/chkbcm DOI: 10.1084/jem.134.4.907 · PMID: 4106490 · PMCID: PMC2138991

414

 ⁴¹⁵ 18. Routine determination of ice thickness for cryo-EM grids William J Rice, Anchi Cheng, Alex J Noble, Edward T Eng, Laura Y Kim, Bridget Carragher, Clinton S Potter *Journal of Structural Biology* (2018-10) https://doi.org/gfbpj2 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2018.06.007 · PMID: 29981485
 · PMCID: PMC6119488

416

⁴¹⁷ 19. Optimized cryo-focused ion beam sample preparation aimed at in situ structural studies of membrane proteins Miroslava Schaffer, Julia Mahamid, Benjamin D Engel, Tim Laugks, Wolfgang Baumeister, Jürgen M Plitzko

Journal of Structural Biology (2017-02) https://doi.org/f9qfr6 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2016.07.010 · PMID: 27444390

418

419 20. Circularization of mRNA by eukaryotic translation initiation factors. SE Wells, PE Hillner, RD Vale, AB Sachs Molecular cell (1998-07) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9702200 DOI: 10.1016/s1097-

420

2765(00)80122-7 · PMID: 9702200

 Montage electron tomography of vitrified specimens Ariana Peck, Stephen D Carter, Huanghao Mai, Songye Chen, Alister Burt, Grant J Jensen Journal of Structural Biology (2022-06) https://doi.org/gp4qrs DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2022.107860 · PMID: 35487464

- 423 22. Correlative cryogenic montage electron tomography for comprehensive in-situ whole-cell structural studies Jie E Yang, Matthew R Larson, Bryan S Sibert, Joseph Y Kim, Daniel Parrell, Juan C Sanchez, Victoria Pappas, Anil Kumar, Kai Cai, Keith Thompson, Elizabeth R Wright Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2022-01-02) https://doi.org/gp4qrv DOI: 10.1101/2021.12.31.474669
- Beam image-shift accelerated data acquisition for near-atomic resolution single-particle cryo-electron tomography Jonathan Bouvette, Hsuan-Fu Liu, Xiaochen Du, Ye Zhou, Andrew P Sikkema, Juliana da Fonseca Rezende e Mello, Bradley P Klemm, Rick Huang, Roel M Schaaper, Mario J Borgnia, Alberto Bartesaghi
 Nature Communications (2021-03-30) https://doi.org/gjphkb DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-22251-8 · PMID:

33785757 · PMCID: PMC8009872

426

424

Parallel cryo electron tomography on <i>in situ</i> lamellae Fabian Eisenstein, Haruaki Yanagisawa, Hiroka Kashihara, Masahide Kikkawa, Sachiko Tsukita, Radostin Danev
 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2022-04-08) https://doi.org/gp4qrw DOI: 10.1101/2022.04.07.487557

428

429 25. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles Guillaume van Niel, Gisela D'Angelo, Graça
 Raposo

Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology (2018-01-17) https://doi.org/gct4df DOI: 10.1038/nrm.2017.125 · PMID: 29339798

430

431 26. Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells Hadi Valadi, Karin Ekström, Apostolos Bossios, Margareta Sjöstrand, James J Lee, Jan O Lötvall

Nature Cell Biology (2007-05-07) https://doi.org/d5df4s DOI: 10.1038/ncb1596 · PMID: 17486113

432

 High-resolution cryo-EM using beam-image shift at 200 keV Jennifer N Cash, Sarah Kearns, Yilai Li, Michael A Cianfrocco
 IUCrJ (2020-10-29) https://doi.org/gjwcfq DOI: 10.1107/s2052252520013482 · PMID: 33209328 · PMCID: PMC7642776

⁴³⁵ 28. Waffle Method: A general and flexible approach for improving throughput in FIB-milling Kotaro Kelley, Ashleigh M Raczkowski, Oleg Klykov, Pattana Jaroenlak, Daija Bobe, Mykhailo Kopylov, Edward T Eng, Gira Bhabha, Clinton S Potter, Bridget Carragher, Alex J Noble *Nature Communications* (2022-04-06) https://doi.org/gp4qrt DOI: 10.1038/s41467-022-29501-3 · PMID: 35387991 · PMCID: PMC8987090

436

 Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements David N Mastronarde

Journal of Structural Biology (2005-10) https://doi.org/ff7gzx DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007 · PMID: 16182563

438

439 30. cisTEM, user-friendly software for single-particle image processing Timothy Grant, Alexis Rohou,
 Nikolaus Grigorieff

eLife (2018-03-07) https://doi.org/gf5cq7 DOI: 10.7554/elife.35383 · PMID: 29513216 · PMCID: PMC5854467

440

Measuring the optimal exposure for single particle cryo-EM using a 2.6 Å reconstruction of rotavirus
 VP6 Timothy Grant, Nikolaus Grigorieff
 eLife (2015-05-29) https://doi.org/gf5cm7 DOI: 10.7554/elife.06980 · PMID: 26023829 · PMCID: PMC4471936

442

443 32. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs Alexis Rohou, Nikolaus Grigorieff

Journal of Structural Biology (2015-11) https://doi.org/f7xzgv DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008 · PMID: 26278980 · PMCID: PMC6760662

444

 33. Cryo-TEM simulations of amorphous radiation-sensitive samples using multislice wave propagation Benjamin Himes, Nikolaus Grigorieff
 IUCrJ (2021-09-30) https://doi.org/gpzs9r DOI: 10.1107/s2052252521008538 · PMID: 34804546 · PMCID: PMC8562658

446

447 34. scikit-image: image processing in Python Stéfan van der Walt, Johannes L Schönberger, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, François Boulogne, Joshua D Warner, Neil Yager, Emmanuelle Gouillart, Tony Yu PeerJ (2014-06-19) https://doi.org/gftp3s DOI: 10.7717/peerj.453 · PMID: 25024921 · PMCID: PMC4081273

 SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, ...
 Nature Methods (2020-02-03) https://doi.org/ggj45f DOI: 10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2 · PMID: 32015543 · PMCID: PMC7056644

450

 ⁴⁵¹ 36. <scp>UCSF ChimeraX</scp>: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers Eric F Pettersen, Thomas D Goddard, Conrad C Huang, Elaine C Meng, Gregory S Couch, Tristan I Croll, John H Morris, Thomas E Ferrin *Protein Science* (2020-10-22) https://doi.org/ghr6mn DOI: 10.1002/pro.3943 · PMID: 32881101 · PMCID: PMC7737788

452

 ⁴⁵³ 37. Open collaborative writing with Manubot Daniel S Himmelstein, Vincent Rubinetti, David R Slochower, Dongbo Hu, Venkat S Malladi, Casey S Greene, Anthony Gitter
 PLOS Computational Biology (2019-06-24) https://doi.org/c7np DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007128 · PMID: 31233491 · PMCID: PMC6611653